## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

OMIT	ED OTATEO DIOTRIOT GOORT TOR	THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISHIST	314
United States of America		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	V. niel Harris Defendant	Case No.1:20-mj-00416-SJB	
After conducting a c		n Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude tha	at these facts require
	Part I – Findi	ngs of Fact	
		8 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously would have been a federal offense if fed	
	riolence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156 rison term is 10 years or more.	S(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. §	§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an offense	for which the maximum sentence is dea	ath or life imprisonment.	
an offense	for which a maximum prison term of ter	n years or more is prescribed in:	.* 
	mmitted after the defendant had been of $42(f)(1)(A)-(C)$ , or comparable state or	convicted of two or more prior federal offer local offenses.	enses described in 18
	that is not a crime of violence but involved a minor victimenth the possession or use of a firearm or a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. §	destructive device or any other dangerou	ıs weapon
(2) The offense described or local offense.		e the defendant was on release pending	trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less the offense described		_ date of conviction defendant's rele	ease from prison for the
	and (3) establish a rebuttable presumpti nmunity. I further find that defendant ha	ion that no condition will reasonably assuas not rebutted that presumption.	ire the safety of another
	Alternative F	indings (A)	
(1) There is probable	cause to believe that the defendant ha	as committed an offense	
Controlled	maximum prison term of ten years or n Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq. .S.C. § 924(c).		·*
	is not rebutted the presumption establis ssure the defendant's appearance and	shed by finding (1) that no condition or co the safety of the community.	ombination of conditions
	Alternative F		
` '	s risk that the defendant will not appear		
(2) There is a serious	risk that the defendant will endanger t	the safety of another person or the comm	າunity.
	Part II – Statement of the	Reasons for Detention	

I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by  $\checkmark$  clear and convincing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:

As stated in more detail on the record, defendant is a danger to the community based on the nature of the instant offense and also poses safety concerns for the community or specific individuals.

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: _	October 14, 2020	Judge's Signature: /s/ Sally J. Berens	
		Name and Title: Sally J. Berens, U.S. Magistrate Judge	